arXiv:math/0411277v2 [math.CO] 15 Feb 2005

α-Pfaffian, pfaffian point process and shifted Schur measure

Sho Matsumoto

February 1, 2008

Abstract

For any complex number α and any even-size skew-symmetric matrix B, we define a generalization $\mathrm{pf}_\alpha(B)$ of the pfaffian $\mathrm{pf}(B)$ which we call the α-pfaffian. The α-pfaffian is a pfaffian analogue of the α-determinant studied in [ST] and [V]. It gives the pfaffian at $\alpha = -1$. We give some formulas for α-pfaffians and study the positivity. Further we define point processes determined by the α-pfaffian. Also we provide a linear algebraic proof of the explicit pfaffian expression obtained in [M] for the correlation function of the shifted Schur measure.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification :Primary 15A15, Secondary 15A48, 05E05, 60G55
Key Words : α-pfaffian, α-determinant, pfaffian, pfaffian point process, Schur Q-function, shifted Schur measure.

1 Introduction

Let α be a complex number and $A = (a_{ij})_{1 \le i,j \le n}$ be a square matrix. Then the α-determinant of A is introduced in [ST] and is given by

$$\det_\alpha(A) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} \alpha^{n-\nu(\sigma)} \prod_{i=1}^{n} a_{i\sigma(i)}, \tag{1.1}$$

where $\nu(\sigma)$ stands for the number of cycles in $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ (see also [V]). Remark that in [V] it is called the α-permanent. This gives a determinant at $\alpha = -1$ or a permanent at $\alpha = 1$:

$$\det_{-1}(A) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} \mathrm{sgn}(\sigma) \prod_{i=1}^{n} a_{i\sigma(i)} = \det(A), \qquad \det_1(A) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} \prod_{i=1}^{n} a_{i\sigma(i)} = \mathrm{per}(A).$$

For a sequence $\boldsymbol{i} = (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k) \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}^k$, we put

$$A_{\boldsymbol{i}} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{i_1 i_1} & \dots & a_{i_1 i_k} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{i_k i_1} & \dots & a_{i_k i_k} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Define a norm $||A||$ of A by

$$||A|| = \sup_{||v||=1,\ v \in \mathbb{C}^n} ||Av||,$$

where $||v||$ stands for the standard norm in $\mathbb{C}^n$. Then it is proved in [V] (see also [ST]) that if $z \in \mathbb{C}$ satisfies $||\alpha z A|| < 1$, we have

$$\det(I_n - \alpha z A)^{-1/\alpha} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^k}{k!} \sum_{1 \le i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k \le n} \det_\alpha(A_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_k}), \tag{1.2}$$

where I_n is the n by n unit matrix. Note that if $\alpha \in \{-1/m; m = 1, 2, \dots\}$, the equation (1.2) holds without the condition for z since $\det(I_n + \frac{1}{m} z A)^m$ is a polynomial for z. In particular, when $\alpha = -1$, the formula (1.2) provides the expansion of the characteristic polynomial:

$$\det(I_n + zA) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} z^k \sum_{1 \le i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k \le n} \det(A_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_k}). \tag{1.3}$$

Our first aim in this paper is to obtain a pfaffian version of (1.2). For that purpose, we define a pfaffian analogue $\mathrm{pf}_\alpha(B)$ of the α-determinant for a skew-symmetric matrix B whose size is even. This analogue $\mathrm{pf}_\alpha(B)$, which we will call the α-pfaffian of B, the α-pfaffian gives a new expression of the usual pfaffian at $\alpha = -1$, i.e., $\mathrm{pf}_{-1}(B) = \mathrm{pf}(B)$ (see Section 2.2). Further, for a particular skew-symmetric matrix, the α-pfaffian gives the α-determinant. Therefore we can regard the α-pfaffian as a generalization of the pfaffian and the α-determinant.

The second aim is to construct a point process determined by the α-pfaffian. It covers the α-determinantal point process studied in [ST] and the usual pfaffian point process studied in [BR], [R], and [S].

The third aim is to regard the shifted Schur measure as a pfaffian point process and to obtain a linear algebraic proof of the explicit pfaffian expression of its correlation function by the same technique in [BR]. The shifted Schur measure is the measure which gives the probability $2^{-\ell(\lambda)} Q_\lambda(u) Q_\lambda(v)$ to each strict partition λ, where $\ell(\lambda)$ is the length of λ and $Q_\lambda(u)$ (resp. $Q_\lambda(v)$) is the Schur Q-function associated with λ in the variables $u = (u_1, u_2, \dots)$ (resp. $v = (v_1, v_2, \dots)$). Its correlation function was obtained by using vertex operators on the exterior algebra in [M]. We give a new and more direct proof.

The fourth aim is to study the positivity of α-pfaffians. The positivity is important for existences of the point processes determined by α-pfaffians. In [ST], the following conjecture for α-determinants is established.

Conjecture 1.1 ([ST]). *Let $\alpha \in [0, 2] \cup \{-1/m; m = 1, 2, \dots\}$. Then $\det_\alpha(A) \ge 0$ whenever A is a non-negative definite hermitian matrix.* □

This is true if $\alpha \in \{2/m; m = 1, 2, \dots\} \cup \{0\} \cup \{-1/m; m = 1, 2, \dots\}$. We study an α-pfaffian version of this conjecture.

2 α-Pfaffian

2.1 Basic facts of the pfaffian

We recall the definition of the pfaffian. For a skew-symmetric matrix $B = (b_{kl})_{1 \le k,l \le 2m}$, the pfaffian of B is defined by

$$\operatorname{pf}(B) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{F}_{2m}} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) \prod_{j=1}^{m} b_{\sigma(2j-1)\sigma(2j)}, \tag{2.1}$$

where $\mathfrak{F}_{2m}$ is the subset of $\mathfrak{S}_{2m}$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{F}_{2m} = \{\sigma = (\sigma(1), \sigma(2), \dots, \sigma(2m)) \in \mathfrak{S}_{2m};& \\ \sigma(2j-1) < \sigma(2j)\ (1 \le j \le m),\ \sigma(1) < \sigma(3) < \cdots < \sigma(2m-1)\}.& \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

For convenience, we define $\operatorname{pf}(\emptyset) = 1$ if $m = 0$. We consider a matrix of the form

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} B(1,1) & \dots & B(1,m) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ B(m,1) & \dots & B(m,m) \end{pmatrix},$$

where each $B(r,s)$ is a 2 by 2 block given by

$$B(r,s) = \begin{pmatrix} B_{00}(r,s) & B_{01}(r,s) \\ B_{10}(r,s) & B_{11}(r,s) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} b_{2r-1,2s-1} & b_{2r-1,2s} \\ b_{2r,2s-1} & b_{2r,2s} \end{pmatrix} \qquad \text{for } 1 \le r,s \le m.$$

We call $B(r,s)$ the (r,s)-block of B. Since $b_{kl} = -b_{lk}$ for $1 \le k,l \le 2m$, it holds that $B_{ij}(r,s) = -B_{ji}(s,r)$ for $i,j \in \{0,1\}$ and $1 \le r,s \le m$. For a finite sequence $S = (s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k) \in \{1, \dots, m\}^k$, we put

$$B[S] = \begin{pmatrix} B(s_1,s_1) & \dots & B(s_1,s_k) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ B(s_k,s_1) & \dots & B(s_k,s_k) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then $B[S]$ is also skew-symmetric.

Let J_m be the $2m$ by $2m$ skew-symmetric matrix whose (r,s)-block is given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \delta_{rs} \\ -\delta_{rs} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The following proposition is a pfaffian analogue of (1.3).

Proposition 2.1. *Let B be any $2m$ by $2m$ skew-symmetric matrix and J_m be above. For any z, we have*

$$\operatorname{pf}(J_m + zB) = \sum_{k=0}^{m} z^k \sum_{1 \le s_1 < s_2 < \cdots < s_k \le m} \operatorname{pf}(B[s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k]). \tag{2.3}$$

Proof. By the definition (2.1) of the pfaffian, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{pf}(J_m + zB) &= \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{F}_{2m}} \mathrm{sgn}(\sigma) \prod_{j=1}^{m} (z b_{\sigma(2j-1)\sigma(2j)} + (J_m)_{\sigma(2j-1)\sigma(2j)}) \\ &= \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{F}_{2m}} \mathrm{sgn}(\sigma) \sum_{k=0}^{m} z^k \sum_{\substack{S \subset \{1,\dots,m\} \\ \#S=k}} \left(\prod_{j \in S} b_{\sigma(2j-1)\sigma(2j)} \right) \left(\prod_{j \in \{1,\dots,m\} \setminus S} (J_m)_{\sigma(2j-1)\sigma(2j)} \right).\end{aligned}$$

Since $\prod_{j \in \{1,\dots,m\} \setminus S} (J_m)_{\sigma(2j-1)\sigma(2j)} = 0$ unless $\sigma(2j-1) = 2j-1$ and $\sigma(2j) = 2j$ for all $j \in \{1, \dots, m\} \setminus S$, we have

$$\mathrm{pf}(J_m + zB) = \sum_{k=0}^{m} z^k \sum_{1 \le s_1 < \cdots < s_k \le m} \sum_{\tau \in \mathfrak{F}_{2k}} \mathrm{sgn}(\tau) \prod_{i=1}^{k} b_{\tau(2s_i-1)\tau(2s_i)} = \sum_{k=0}^{m} z^k \sum_{\substack{S \subset \{1,\dots,m\}, \\ \#S=k}} \mathrm{pf}(B[S]),$$

where $\mathfrak{F}_{2k}$ acts on $\{2s_1 - 1, 2s_1, \dots, 2s_k - 1, 2s_k\}$. □

2.2 Definition of α-pfaffian

Let B be any $2m$ by $2m$ skew-symmetric matrix. For a cycle $\tau = (k_1, k_2, \dots, k_r)$, we put

$$\begin{aligned}P(B)(\tau) &= P(B)(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_r) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_r \in \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}} (-1)^{i_1+i_2+\cdots+i_r} B_{i_1,i_2+1}(k_1, k_2) B_{i_2,i_3+1}(k_2, k_3) \cdots B_{i_r,i_1+1}(k_r, k_1),\end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

where $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} = \{0, 1\}$. This is independent of the way of expressions of the cycle τ:

$$P(B)(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_r) = P(B)(k_2, \dots, k_r, k_1) = \cdots .$$

For any complex number α, we define the *α-pfaffian* of B by

$$\mathrm{pf}_\alpha(B) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_m} \alpha^{m-\nu(\sigma)} \prod_{j=1}^{\nu(\sigma)} P(B)(\sigma^{(j)}), \tag{2.5}$$

where $\sigma = \sigma^{(1)}\sigma^{(2)} \cdots \sigma^{(\nu(\sigma))}$ is the cycle decomposition of σ.

We now provide another expression of the α-pfaffian. Let $\tau = (k_1, k_2, \dots, k_r)$ be a cycle and suppose that k_1 is the smallest among $k_1, k_2, \dots, k_r$. Then we put

$$\begin{aligned}Q(B)(\tau) =& Q(B)(k_1, k_2, \dots, k_r) \\ =& \sum_{i_2, \dots, i_r \in \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}} (-1)^{i_2+\cdots+i_r} B_{0,i_2+1}(k_1, k_2) B_{i_2,i_3+1}(k_2, k_3) \cdots B_{i_r,1}(k_r, k_1)\end{aligned}$$

if $r \ge 2$, or $Q(B)(\tau) = B_{01}(k_1, k_1)$ if $r = 1$. For $\tau^{-1} = (k_1, k_r, k_{r-1}, \dots, k_2)$, we have

$$Q(B)(\tau^{-1}) = \sum_{i_2, \dots, i_r \in \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}} (-1)^{i_2+\cdots+i_r} B_{0,i_2+1}(k_1, k_r) B_{i_2,i_3+1}(k_r, k_{r-1}) \cdots B_{i_r,1}(k_2, k_1).$$

Since $B_{ij}(r,s) = -B_{ji}(s,r)$, changing the indices of $i_2, \dots, i_r$, we see that

$$= \sum_{i_2,\dots,i_r \in \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}} (-1)^{i_2+\cdots+i_r+r} B_{1,i_2}(k_1,k_2) B_{i_2+1,i_3}(k_2,k_3) \cdots B_{i_r+1,0}(k_r,k_1).$$

Further, if we change indices as $j_p = i_p + 1$, we obtain

$$= \sum_{j_2,\dots,j_r \in \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}} (-1)^{j_2+\cdots+j_r} B_{1,j_2+1}(k_1,k_2) B_{j_2,j_3+1}(k_2,k_3) \cdots B_{j_r,0}(k_r,k_1).$$

Therefore we get the equality $2P(B)(\tau) = Q(B)(\tau) + Q(B)(\tau^{-1})$. Hence we have the

Proposition 2.2. *We have*

$$\operatorname{pf}_\alpha(B) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_m} \alpha^{m-\nu(\sigma)} \prod_{j=1}^{\nu(\sigma)} Q(B)(\sigma^{(j)}). \quad \square \tag{2.6}$$

Example 2.1. We abbreviate $Q(B)(\tau)$ to $Q(\tau)$.

- The case where $m = 1$. We have $\operatorname{pf}_\alpha(B) = Q(1) = B_{01}(1,1) = b_{12}$ for any α.
- The case where $m = 2$. Since $Q(1,2) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}} (-1)^i B_{0,i+1}(1,2) B_{i,1}(2,1)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\operatorname{pf}_\alpha(B) =& Q(1)Q(2) + \alpha Q(1,2)\\ =& B_{01}(1,1)B_{01}(2,2) + \alpha\left(B_{01}(1,2)B_{01}(2,1) - B_{00}(1,2)B_{11}(2,1)\right)\\ =& b_{12}b_{34} + \alpha(b_{14}b_{32} - b_{13}b_{42}) = b_{12}b_{34} + \alpha(b_{13}b_{24} - b_{14}b_{23}). \quad \square\end{aligned}$$

We compare the number of terms in the expression (2.6) with that in the definition (2.1) of the pfaffian. The number of terms in (2.6) as a polynomial of α is at most $\sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_m} 2^{m-\nu(\sigma)}$. On the other hand, the number of terms in (2.1) equals $(2m-1)!!$. We can show that

$$\sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_m} 2^{m-\nu(\sigma)} = (2m-1)!! \tag{2.7}$$

in the following Proposition 2.3. Therefore the number of terms in the α-pfaffian is equal to the number of them in the pfaffian (when $\alpha = -1$).

Proposition 2.3. *For any complex number x, we have*

$$\sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_m} x^{m-\nu(\sigma)} = \prod_{k=0}^{m-1} (kx+1). \tag{2.8}$$

In particular, $\sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_m} x^{m-\nu(\sigma)} = 0$ *if* $x = -\frac{1}{k}$ $(k = 1, 2, \dots, m-1)$.

Proof. We prove (2.8) by induction on m. When $m = 1$, both sides in (2.8) equal 1. Assume that the equality holds for $m-1$. Put $\mathfrak{S}_m(m,k) = \{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_m; \sigma(m) = k\}$ for $1 \leq k \leq m$. We define a bijective map s from $\mathfrak{S}_m(m,k)$ to $\mathfrak{S}_{m-1}$ by removing m from the cycle decomposition of $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_m(m,k)$. For example, we see that $s((1\ 2\ 4)(3\ 6\ 5)) = (1\ 2\ 4)(3\ 5)$ for $(1\ 2\ 4)(3\ 6\ 5) \in \mathfrak{S}_6(6,5)$.

Since $\nu(s(\sigma))$ is equal to $\nu(\sigma)$ if $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_m(m,k)$ $(1 \le k \le m-1)$, or to $\nu(\sigma)-1$ if $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_m(m,m)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_m} x^{m-\nu(\sigma)} = \sum_{k=1}^{m} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_m(m,k)} x^{m-\nu(\sigma)} = \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_{m-1}} x^{m-\nu(\pi)} + \sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_{m-1}} x^{m-1-\nu(\pi)} \\ =((m-1)x+1) \sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_{m-1}} x^{m-1-\nu(\pi)}.\end{aligned}$$

By the assumption of the induction, (2.8) follows immediately. □

The α-pfaffian has the following invariance.

Proposition 2.4. *Let B be any $2m$ by $2m$ skew-symmetric matrix. Then we have*

$$\mathrm{pf}_\alpha({}^t J_m B J_m) = \mathrm{pf}_\alpha(B).$$

Proof. Put $\widetilde{B} = {}^t J_m B J_m$. Then it is easy to see that $\widetilde{B}_{ij}(r,s) = (-1)^{i+j} B_{i+1,j+1}(r,s)$ for $i,j=0,1$ and $r,s = 1,2,\dots,m$. Therefore we see that $P(\widetilde{B})(\tau) = P(B)(\tau)$ for any cycle τ so that $\mathrm{pf}_\alpha(\widetilde{B}) = \mathrm{pf}_\alpha(B)$. □

Remark 2.1. For the usual pfaffian, the equality $\mathrm{pf}({}^t TBT) = \mathrm{pf}(B)\det(T)$ holds for any $2m$ by $2m$ skew-symmetric matrix B and for any $2m$ by $2m$ matrix T. But we cannot expect a similar formula for general α-pfaffians. □

2.3 Expansions of the power of a pfaffian

Now we give a pfaffian version of (1.2).

Theorem 2.5. *Let α be a non-zero complex number and B be any $2m$ by $2m$ skew-symmetric matrix. If $z \in \mathbb{C}$ satisfies $||\alpha z B|| < 1$, then we have*

$$\mathrm{pf}(J_m - \alpha z B)^{-1/\alpha} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^k}{k!} \sum_{1 \le s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k \le m} \mathrm{pf}_\alpha(B[s_1, s_2, \dots, s_k]). \tag{2.9}$$

Here if $\alpha \in \{-1/p; p = 1,2,\dots\}$, the equation (2.9) *holds without the condition for z.*

To prove the theorem, we need a lemma.

Lemma 2.6. *For a cycle $\tau = (k_1, k_2, \dots, k_r)$, we have*

$$\mathrm{tr}\,((-J_1)B(k_1,k_2)(-J_1)B(k_2,k_3)\cdots(-J_1)B(k_r,k_1)) = 2P(B)(\tau).$$

Proof. Since

$$-J_1 B(r,s) = \begin{pmatrix} -B_{10}(r,s) & -B_{11}(r,s) \\ B_{00}(r,s) & B_{01}(r,s) \end{pmatrix},$$

the claim follows immediately from the definition (2.4) of $P(B)(\tau)$. □

Proof of Theorem 2.5. Since $\mathrm{pf}(B)^2 = \det(B)$, $\det(\pm J_m) = 1$ and $J_m^2 = -I_{2m}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{pf}(J_m - \alpha z B)^{-1/\alpha} &= \det(J_m - \alpha z B)^{-1/(2\alpha)} = (\det(-J_m)\det(J_m - \alpha z B))^{-1/(2\alpha)} \\ &= \det(I_{2m} - \alpha z(-J_m B))^{-1/(2\alpha)}.\end{aligned}$$

By the assumption on z, we have $||\alpha z J_m B|| \le ||J_m|| \cdot ||\alpha z B|| < 1$. Thus we obtain

$$\det(I_{2m} - \alpha z(-J_m B))^{-1/(2\alpha)} = \exp\left(\frac{1}{2\alpha}\sum_{p=1}^{\infty}\frac{(\alpha z)^p}{p}\mathrm{tr}(T^p)\right),$$

where we put $T = -J_m B$. If we expand the right hand side, then

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{pf}(J_m - \alpha z B)^{-1/\alpha} &= 1 + \sum_{l=1}^{\infty}\frac{(2\alpha)^{-l}}{l!}\sum_{p_1,p_2,\dots,p_l \ge 1}(\alpha z)^{p_1+p_2+\cdots+p_l}\frac{\mathrm{tr}(T^{p_1})\mathrm{tr}(T^{p_2})\cdots\mathrm{tr}(T^{p_l})}{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_l} \\ &= 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(\alpha z)^k\sum_{l=1}^{k}\frac{(2\alpha)^{-l}}{l!}\sum_{\substack{p_1,p_2,\dots,p_l \ge 1, \\ p_1+p_2+\cdots+p_l = k}}\frac{\mathrm{tr}(T^{p_1})\mathrm{tr}(T^{p_2})\cdots\mathrm{tr}(T^{p_l})}{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_l}. \qquad (2.10)\end{aligned}$$

For a partition $\rho = (\rho_1, \rho_2, \dots, \rho_l)$ of weight k and of length $\ell(\rho) = l$, we denote by C_ρ the conjugate class of cycle type ρ in $\mathfrak{S}_k$. It is easy to see that

$$\frac{1}{l!}\sum_{\substack{p_1,p_2,\dots,p_l \ge 1 \\ p_1+p_2+\cdots+p_l = k \\ \{p_1,p_2,\dots,p_l\} = \{\rho_1,\rho_2,\dots,\rho_l\}}}\frac{k!}{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_l} = \#C_\rho = \sum_{\sigma \in C_\rho} 1,$$

where the sum in the left hand side is all over the sequence $(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_l)$ of l positive integers satisfying the conditions $p_1 + \cdots + p_l = k$ and $\{p_1, \dots, p_l\} = \{\rho_1, \dots, \rho_l\}$. Hence we have

$$\frac{1}{l!}\sum_{\substack{p_1,p_2,\dots,p_l \ge 1 \\ p_1+p_2+\cdots+p_l = k}}\frac{\mathrm{tr}(T^{p_1})\mathrm{tr}(T^{p_2})\cdots\mathrm{tr}(T^{p_l})}{p_1 p_2 \cdots p_l} = \frac{1}{k!}\sum_{\substack{\rho \vdash k \\ \ell(\rho) = l}}\sum_{\sigma \in C_\rho}\mathrm{tr}(T^{\rho_1})\mathrm{tr}(T^{\rho_2})\cdots\mathrm{tr}(T^{\rho_l}). \qquad (2.11)$$

By (2.10) and (2.11), we have

$$\mathrm{pf}(J_m - \alpha z B)^{-1/\alpha} = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{(\alpha z)^k}{k!}\sum_{l=1}^{k}(2\alpha)^{-l}\sum_{\substack{\rho \vdash k \\ \ell(\rho) = l}}\sum_{\sigma \in C_\rho}\mathrm{tr}(T^{\rho_1})\mathrm{tr}(T^{\rho_2})\cdots\mathrm{tr}(T^{\rho_l}).$$

Since

$$\mathrm{tr}(T^p) = \mathrm{tr}((-J_m B)^p) = \sum_{1 \le s_1,\dots,s_p \le m}\mathrm{tr}\left((-J_1 B(s_1, s_2))\cdots(-J_1 B(s_p, s_1))\right)$$

it follows from Lemma 2.6 that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{pf}(J_m - \alpha z B)^{-1/\alpha} &= 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{(\alpha z)^k}{k!}\sum_{1 \le s_1,\dots,s_k \le m}\sum_{l=1}^{k}(2\alpha)^{-l}\sum_{\substack{\rho \vdash k \\ \ell(\rho) = l}}\sum_{\sigma \in C_\rho}2^l\prod_{j=1}^{l}P(B[s_1, \dots, s_k])(\sigma^{(j)}) \\ &= 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{z^k}{k!}\sum_{1 \le s_1,\dots,s_k \le m}\sum_{l=1}^{k}\alpha^{k-l}\sum_{\substack{\rho \vdash k \\ \ell(\rho) = l}}\sum_{\sigma \in C_\rho}\prod_{j=1}^{l}P(B[s_1, \dots, s_k])(\sigma^{(j)}).\end{aligned}$$

If $\sigma \in C_\rho$, then $\nu(\sigma) = \ell(\rho)$ so that we obtain

$$\mathrm{pf}(J_m - \alpha z B)^{-1/\alpha} = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^k}{k!} \sum_{1 \le s_1, \dots, s_k \le m} \mathrm{pf}_\alpha(B[s_1, \dots, s_k]).$$

This completes the proof of the theorem. □

Remark 2.2. It is clear that

$$\exp\left(z \sum_{s=1}^{m} B_{01}(s,s)\right) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^k}{k!} \sum_{1 \le s_1, \dots, s_k \le m} \prod_{j=1}^{k} B_{01}(s_j, s_j)$$

for any z. We may regard this formula as a limit of (2.9) as $\alpha \to 0$. □

From Proposition 2.1 and Theorem 2.5, we obtain a new expression of the pfaffian.

Corollary 2.7. *For any $2m$ by $2m$ skew-symmetric matrix B we have*

$$\mathrm{pf}(B) = \mathrm{pf}_{-1}(B) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_m} \mathrm{sgn}(\sigma) \prod_{j=1}^{\nu(\sigma)} Q(B)(\sigma^{(j)}). \quad \square \tag{2.12}$$

2.4 Connections to α-determinants and some formulas

Let $\mathfrak{gl}_m = \mathfrak{gl}_m(\mathbb{C})$ be the set of all m by m $\mathbb{C}$-matrices and $\mathfrak{o}_{2m} = \mathfrak{o}_{2m}(\mathbb{C})$ be the set of all $2m$ by $2m$ skew-symmetric $\mathbb{C}$-matrices. For $A = (a_{ij})_{1 \le i,j \le m} \in \mathfrak{gl}_m$, we denote by $\omega(A) \in \mathfrak{o}_{2m}$ the skew-symmetric matrix whose (r,s)-block is given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & a_{rs} \\ -a_{sr} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

In other words,

$$\omega(A) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes A + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \otimes {}^t A, \tag{2.13}$$

where $\otimes$ is the Kronecker product. It is clear that the map $\omega : \mathfrak{gl}_m \to \mathfrak{o}_{2m}$ is linear and injective. The following proposition assures that the α-pfaffian is a generalization of α-determinant.

Proposition 2.8. *For $A \in \mathfrak{gl}_m$, we have $\mathrm{pf}_\alpha(\omega(A)) = \det_\alpha(A)$.*

Proof. It is easy to see that $Q(\omega(A))(\tau) = a_{k_1 k_2} a_{k_2 k_3} \cdots a_{k_r k_1}$ for a cycle $\tau = (k_1, k_2, \dots, k_r)$. Therefore by (2.6) and (1.1) we have $\mathrm{pf}_\alpha(\omega(A)) = \det_\alpha(A)$. □

Remark 2.3. It is well known that $\mathrm{pf}(B)^2 = \det(B)$ in the case of $\alpha = -1$. But we cannot expect a similar formula for general α. □

Theorem 2.5 reduces (1.2) by Proposition 2.8. Now we describe some formulas for α-pfaffians and α-determinants.

Proposition 2.9. *Let B be any $2m$ by $2m$ skew-symmetric matrix. Then we have*

$$\mathrm{pf}_\alpha(J_m + zB) = \sum_{S\subset\{1,\dots,m\}} z^{\#S}\mathrm{pf}_\alpha(B[S]).$$

In particular, for any m by m matrix A

$$\det_\alpha(I_m + zA) = \sum_{S\subset\{1,\dots,m\}} z^{\#S}\det_\alpha(A_S).$$

Proof. The first formula is obtained in a similar way to Proposition 2.1. The second formula is clear from the first formula and Proposition 2.8. □

Proposition 2.10. *Let B be any $2m$ by $2m$ skew-symmetric matrix. Then we have*

$$\mathrm{pf}_\alpha(B) = \frac{1}{2^m}\sum_{i_1,\dots,i_m\in\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}} (-1)^{i_1+\cdots+i_m}\det_{2\alpha}(B_{i_r,i_s+1}(r,s))_{1\le r,s\le m}.$$

Proof. By the definition (2.5) of the α-pfaffian, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{pf}_\alpha(B) &= \sum_{\sigma\in\mathfrak{S}_m}\alpha^{m-\nu(\sigma)}2^{-\nu(\sigma)}\sum_{i_1,i_2,\dots,i_m\in\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}}(-1)^{i_1+i_2+\cdots+i_m}\prod_{k=1}^m B_{i_k,i_{\sigma(k)}+1}(k,\sigma(k))\\ &=\frac{1}{2^m}\sum_{i_1,i_2,\dots,i_m\in\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}}(-1)^{i_1+\cdots+i_m}\sum_{\sigma\in\mathfrak{S}_m}(2\alpha)^{m-\nu(\sigma)}\prod_{k=1}^m B_{i_k,i_{\sigma(k)}+1}(k,\sigma(k))\\ &=\frac{1}{2^m}\sum_{i_1,i_2,\dots,i_m\in\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}}(-1)^{i_1+\cdots+i_m}\det_{2\alpha}(B_{i_r,i_s+1}(r,s))_{1\le r,s\le m}.\end{aligned}$$

□

For an arbitrary matrix $T\in\mathfrak{gl}_n$ and a non-negative integer k, we define $\mathbb{T}_k^{(\alpha)}(T)$ by $\mathbb{T}_k^{(\alpha)}(T) = \frac{1}{2^k k!}\det_\alpha(Z_k(T))$, where

$$Z_k(T) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{tr}(T) & 2 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0\\ \mathrm{tr}(T^2) & \mathrm{tr}(T) & 4 & \dots & 0 & 0\\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots\\ \mathrm{tr}(T^{k-2}) & \mathrm{tr}(T^{k-3}) & \mathrm{tr}(T^{k-4}) & \dots & 2(k-2) & 0\\ \mathrm{tr}(T^{k-1}) & \mathrm{tr}(T^{k-2}) & \mathrm{tr}(T^{k-3}) & \dots & \mathrm{tr}(T) & 2(k-1)\\ \mathrm{tr}(T^k) & \mathrm{tr}(T^{k-1}) & \mathrm{tr}(T^{k-2}) & \dots & \mathrm{tr}(T^2) & \mathrm{tr}(T)\end{pmatrix}$$

for $k\ge 1$ and $\mathbb{T}_0(T) = 1$.

Then we have

Proposition 2.11. *Let B be any $2m$ by $2m$ skew-symmetric matrix. Then we have*

$$\mathbb{T}_m^{(\alpha)}(-J_mB) = \frac{1}{m!}\sum_{1\le s_1,\dots,s_m\le m}\mathrm{pf}_\alpha(B[s_1,\dots,s_m]).$$

In particular, $\mathbb{T}_m^{(-1)}(-J_mB) = \mathrm{pf}(B)$.

The case of $\alpha = -1$ is obtained in Lemma 2.5 in [KW]. We need a lemma to prove the proposition. Denote by $z_m(T)_{ij}$ the (i,j)-entry of the matrix $Z_m(T)$.

Lemma 2.12. *Let $\rho = (\rho_1, \dots, \rho_l)$ be a partition of m whose length is l and C_ρ be a conjugate class of $\mathfrak{S}_m$ indexed by ρ. For the matrix $Z_m(T)$, we have*

$$\sum_{\sigma \in C_\rho} \prod_{j=1}^{m} z_m(T)_{j\sigma(j)} = 2^{m-l} \mathrm{tr}(T^{\rho_1}) \cdots \mathrm{tr}(T^{\rho_l}) \sum_{\sigma \in C_\rho} 1. \tag{2.14}$$

Proof. For a cycle $\tau = (1\ 2\ \dots\ \rho_1)(\rho_1 + 1\ \dots\ \rho_1 + \rho_2) \cdots$ in C_ρ, we see that

$$\begin{aligned}\prod_{j=1}^{m} z_m(T)_{j\tau(j)} =& 2 \cdot 4 \cdots 2(\rho_1 - 1) \cdot \mathrm{tr}(T^{\rho_1}) \cdot 2(\rho_1 + 1) \cdots 2(\rho_1 + \rho_2 - 1) \mathrm{tr}(T^{\rho_2}) \cdots \\ =& 2^{m-l} \frac{m!}{\rho_1(\rho_1 + \rho_2) \cdots (\rho_1 + \rho_2 + \cdots + \rho_l)} \mathrm{tr}(T^{\rho_1}) \cdots \mathrm{tr}(T^{\rho_l}).\end{aligned}$$

Since $z_m(T)_{ij} = 0$ if $j > i + 1$, only the terms for the permutations whose forms are $\sigma = (1\ 2\ \dots\ a_1)(a_1 + 1\ \dots\ a_1 + a_2) \cdots$ remain in the left hand side of (2.14), where $a_1, a_2, \dots$ are permutations for $\rho_1, \rho_2, \dots$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{\sigma \in C_\rho} \prod_{j=1}^{m} z_m(T)_{j\sigma(j)} \\ =& 2^{m-l} m! \mathrm{tr}(T^{\rho_1}) \cdots \mathrm{tr}(T^{\rho_l}) \left(\prod_{r \geq 1} \frac{1}{m_r(\rho)!} \right) \sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_l} \frac{1}{\rho_{\pi(1)}(\rho_{\pi(1)} + \rho_{\pi(2)}) \cdots (\rho_{\pi(1)} + \rho_{\pi(2)} + \cdots + \rho_{\pi(l)})},\end{aligned}$$

where $m_r(\rho)$ is the multiplicity of r in $\rho = (\rho_1, \dots, \rho_l)$. It is easy to see that this equals

$$= 2^{m-l} m! \mathrm{tr}(T^{\rho_1}) \cdots \mathrm{tr}(T^{\rho_l}) \left(\prod_{r \geq 1} \frac{1}{m_r(\rho)!} \right) \frac{1}{\rho_1 \cdots \rho_l} = 2^{m-l} \mathrm{tr}(T^{\rho_1}) \cdots \mathrm{tr}(T^{\rho_l}) \# C_\rho.$$

We have proved the lemma. ☐

Proof of Proposition 2.11. We have

$$\mathbb{T}_m^{(\alpha)}(-J_m B) = \frac{1}{2^m m!} \sum_{\rho \vdash m} \alpha^{m - \ell(\rho)} \sum_{\sigma \in C_\rho} \prod_{j=1}^{m} z_m(-J_m B)_{j\sigma(j)},$$

where $\rho \vdash m$ means that ρ is a partition of m. By Lemma 2.12,

$$= \frac{1}{m!} \sum_{\rho \vdash m} \alpha^{m - \ell(\rho)} 2^{-\ell(\rho)} \mathrm{tr}((-J_m B)^{\rho_1}) \cdots \mathrm{tr}((-J_m B)^{\rho_l}) \sum_{\sigma \in C_\rho} 1.$$

In a similar way to the proof of Theorem 2.5, we can obtain the first claim in the proposition.

In the case of $\alpha = -1$, we see that

$$\mathbb{T}_m^{(-1)}(-J_m B) = \frac{1}{m!} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq s_1, \dots, s_m \leq m, \\ s_1, \dots, s_m \text{ are distinct}}} \mathrm{pf}(B[s_1, \dots, s_m]) = \mathrm{pf}(B).$$

☐

Remark 2.4. Let λ be a partition of n and $A = (a_{ij})$ be a matrix in $\mathfrak{gl}_n$. Then the immanant of A is defined by $\mathrm{Imm}_\lambda(A) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} \chi^\lambda(\sigma) \prod_{i=1}^n a_{i\sigma(i)}$, where χ^λ is the irreducible character of $\mathfrak{S}_n$ associated with λ, see [J]. It is easy to see that $\mathrm{Imm}_{(n)}(A) = \mathrm{per}(A)$ and $\mathrm{Imm}_{(1^n)}(A) = \det(A)$. We can define a pfaffian analogue of the immanant by

$$\mathrm{pf}^\lambda(B) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_m} \chi^\lambda(\sigma) \prod_{j=1}^{\nu(\sigma)} Q(B)(\sigma^{(j)}),$$

where $B \in \mathfrak{o}_{2m}$ and λ is a partition of m. We immediately see $\mathrm{pf}^{(m)}(B) = \mathrm{pf}_1(B)$ and $\mathrm{pf}^{(1^m)}(B) = \mathrm{pf}_{-1}(B) = \mathrm{pf}(B)$. As like Proposition 2.8, we obtain $\mathrm{pf}^\lambda(\omega(A)) = \mathrm{Imm}_\lambda(A)$ for $A \in \mathfrak{gl}_m$ and a partition λ of m, that is, pf^λ is an extension of the immanant. □

3 α-Pfaffian point processes

Let $\mathfrak{X}$ be a countable set. Let L be a map

$$L : \mathfrak{X} \times \mathfrak{X} \to \mathfrak{gl}_2(\mathbb{C}); (x, y) \mapsto L(x, y) = \begin{pmatrix} L_{00}(x, y) & L_{01}(x, y) \\ L_{10}(x, y) & L_{11}(x, y) \end{pmatrix}$$

such that $L_{ij}(x, y) = -L_{ji}(y, x)$ for any $i, j \in \{0, 1\}$ and $x, y \in \mathfrak{X}$. Such L is called a skew-symmetric matrix kernel on $\mathfrak{X}$, see [R, S]. We regard the map L as an operator on the Hilbert space $\ell^2(\mathfrak{X}) \oplus \ell^2(\mathfrak{X})$. Then L is a matrix whose blocks are indexed by elements in $\mathfrak{X} \times \mathfrak{X}$. For n-point $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in \mathfrak{X}$, we denote by $L[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$ the $2n$ by $2n$ skew-symmetric matrix $(L(x_i, x_j))_{1 \le i,j \le n}$.

Let J be the skew-symmetric matrix kernel determined by $J(x, y) = J_1 \delta_{x,y}$ and α be a real number. Suppose that $\mathrm{pf}_\alpha(L[x_1, \dots, x_n])$ are non-negative for all $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in \mathfrak{X}^n$ $(n \ge 1)$ and that $||\alpha L|| < 1$, where $|| \cdot ||$ is the operator norm with respect to the Hilbert space $\ell^2(\mathfrak{X}) \oplus \ell^2(\mathfrak{X})$. By Theorem 2.5, we have

$$\mathrm{pf}(J - \alpha L)^{-1/\alpha} = \sum_{n=0}^\infty \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathfrak{X}} \mathrm{pf}_\alpha(L[x_1, \dots, x_n]). \tag{3.1}$$

Here if $\#\mathfrak{X} = \infty$ then we put $\mathrm{pf}(J + L) := \sum_{\substack{X \subset \mathfrak{X}, \\ \#X < \infty}} \mathrm{pf}(L[X])$. We consider a probability density on $\bigcup_{n=0}^\infty \mathfrak{X}^n$ defined by

$$p_L^{(\alpha)}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \frac{1}{n!} \mathrm{pf}(J - \alpha L)^{1/\alpha} \mathrm{pf}_\alpha(L[x_1, \dots, x_n]) \qquad \text{on } \mathfrak{X}^n. \tag{3.2}$$

By (3.1), we have $\sum_{n=0}^\infty \sum_{x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathfrak{X}} p_L^{(\alpha)}(x_1, \dots, x_n) = 1$.

Put $\mathfrak{Q}(\mathfrak{X}) = \bigcup_{n=0}^\infty \mathfrak{X}^n / \sim$, where we write $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \sim (y_1, \dots, y_n)$ for two elements in $\mathfrak{X}^n$ if and only if there exists a permutation $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ such that $y_j = x_{\sigma(j)}$ $(1 \le j \le n)$. We define a point process $\pi_L^{(\alpha)}$ on $\mathfrak{X}$ by the equality

$$\sum_{X \in \mathfrak{Q}(\mathfrak{X})} \pi_L^{(\alpha)}(X) f(X) = \sum_{n=0}^\infty \sum_{x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathfrak{X}} p_L^{(\alpha)}(x_1, \dots, x_n) f(x_1) \cdots f(x_n) \tag{3.3}$$

for any test function f on $\mathfrak{X}$. Here we write $f(X) = f(x_1)\cdots f(x_n)$ for any sequence $(x_1,\dots,x_n)$ in a class X. More explicitly, $\pi_L^{(\alpha)}$ is a probability measure on $\mathfrak{Q}(\mathfrak{X})$ defined by

$$\pi_L^{(\alpha)}(X) = \frac{1}{\prod_{x\in\mathfrak{X}} m_x(X)!}\mathrm{pf}(J-\alpha L)^{1/\alpha}\mathrm{pf}_\alpha(L[X]) \qquad \text{for } X \in \mathfrak{Q}(\mathfrak{X}), \tag{3.4}$$

where $m_x(X)$ is the multiplicity of x in X. We call this measure $\pi_L^{(\alpha)}$ the *α-pfaffian point process* on $\mathfrak{X}$ determined by a skew-symmetric matrix kernel L.

The n-point correlation function is defined by

$$\rho_L^{(\alpha)}(x_1,\dots,x_n) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{(n+k)!}{k!}\sum_{x_{n+1},\dots,x_{n+k}\in\mathfrak{X}} p_L^{(\alpha)}(x_1,\dots,x_n,x_{n+1},\dots,x_{n+k}) \tag{3.5}$$

for $(x_1,\dots,x_n)\in\mathfrak{X}^n$.

Theorem 3.1. *Let L, $p_L^{(\alpha)}$, and $\rho_L^{(\alpha)}$ be as above. Put $K_\alpha = -\alpha^{-1}(J+(J-\alpha L)^{-1})$ and $\widetilde{K}_\alpha = L(I+\alpha JL)^{-1}$. Assume that $\mathrm{pf}_\alpha(K_\alpha[x_1,\dots,x_n])$ is non-negative for any $x_1,\dots,x_n\in\mathfrak{X}$. Then we have $\rho_L^{(\alpha)}(x_1,\dots,x_n) = \mathrm{pf}_\alpha(K_\alpha[x_1,\dots,x_n]) = \mathrm{pf}_\alpha(\widetilde{K}_\alpha[x_1,\dots,x_n])$.*

Proof. Put $K'_\alpha = -J\widetilde{K}_\alpha J$. Then it follows that $(J+\alpha K'_\alpha)(J-\alpha L) = -I-\alpha JL+\alpha(-J\widetilde{K}_\alpha J)J(I+\alpha JL) = -I$ so that $K'_\alpha = -\alpha^{-1}(J+(J-\alpha L)^{-1}) = K_\alpha$. Therefore by Proposition 2.4, it is enough to prove $\rho_L^{(\alpha)}(x_1,\dots,x_n) = \mathrm{pf}_\alpha(\widetilde{K}_\alpha[x_1,\dots,x_n])$.

We abbreviate $p_L^{(\alpha)}$ to p simply. Let $f(x)$ be a function on $\mathfrak{X}$ such that $f_0(x) = f(x)-1$ is finitely supported. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\sum_{y_1,\dots,y_m\in\mathfrak{X}} p(y_1,\dots,y_m)\prod_{j=1}^{m} f(y_j) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\sum_{y_1,\dots,y_m\in\mathfrak{X}} p(y_1,\dots,y_m)\prod_{j=1}^{m}(1+f_0(y_j))\\
=&\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\sum_{n=0}^{m}\binom{m}{n}\sum_{x_1,\dots,x_n\in\mathfrak{X}}\prod_{j=1}^{n} f_0(x_j)\sum_{x_{n+1},\dots,x_m\in\mathfrak{X}} p(x_1,\dots,x_n,x_{n+1},\dots,x_m)\\
=&\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{(n+k)!}{n!k!}\sum_{x_1,\dots,x_n\in\mathfrak{X}}\prod_{j=1}^{n} f_0(x_j)\sum_{x_{n+1},\dots,x_{n+k}\in\mathfrak{X}} p(x_1,\dots,x_n,x_{n+1},\dots,x_{n+k})\\
=&\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{n!}\sum_{x_1,\dots,x_n\in\mathfrak{X}}\rho_L^{(\alpha)}(x_1,\dots,x_n)\prod_{j=1}^{n} f_0(x_j).
\end{aligned}$$

When we put $\sqrt{f}(x) = \sqrt{f(x)}$ and identify $\sqrt{f}$ with the matrix with (x,y)-block $\sqrt{f}(x)I_2\delta_{x,y}$, we have $\det(\sqrt{f}[x_1,\dots,x_n]) = f(x_1)\cdots f(x_n)$. Therefore we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\sum_{y_1,\dots,y_m\in\mathfrak{X}} p(y_1,\dots,y_m)\prod_{j=1}^{m} f(y_j)\\
=&\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{m!}\sum_{y_1,\dots,y_m\in\mathfrak{X}}\mathrm{pf}_\alpha((\sqrt{f}L\sqrt{f})[y_1,\dots,y_m])\mathrm{pf}(J-\alpha L)^{1/\alpha}\\
=&\mathrm{pf}(J-\alpha\sqrt{f}L\sqrt{f})^{-1/\alpha}\mathrm{pf}(J-\alpha L)^{1/\alpha}
\end{aligned}$$

by (3.1). Further we see that

$$\begin{aligned} &\det(J-\alpha\sqrt{f}L\sqrt{f})\det(J-\alpha L)^{-1}=\det((J-\alpha fL)(J-\alpha L)^{-1}) \\ =&\det((-J)(J-\alpha fL)(J-\alpha L)^{-1}J)=\det((I+\alpha(1+f_0)JL)(I+\alpha JL)^{-1}) \\ =&\det(I+f_0J\widetilde{K}_\alpha)=\det(J-\alpha f_0\widetilde{K}_\alpha)=\det(J-\alpha\sqrt{f_0}\widetilde{K}_\alpha\sqrt{f_0}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by (3.1) again,

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{m=0}^{\infty}\sum_{y_1,\dots,y_m\in\mathfrak{X}}p(y_1,\dots,y_m)\prod_{j=1}^{m}f(y_j)=\mathrm{pf}(J-\alpha\sqrt{f_0}L\sqrt{f_0})^{-1/\alpha}\mathrm{pf}(J-\alpha L)^{1/\alpha} \\ =&\mathrm{pf}(J-\alpha\sqrt{f_0}\widetilde{K}_\alpha\sqrt{f_0})^{-1/\alpha}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{n!}\sum_{x_1,\dots,x_n\in\mathfrak{X}}\mathrm{pf}_\alpha(\widetilde{K}_\alpha[x_1,\dots,x_n])\prod_{j=1}^{n}f_0(x_j). \end{aligned}$$

Finally we have

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{n!}\sum_{x_1,\dots,x_n\in\mathfrak{X}}\rho_L^{(\alpha)}(x_1,\dots,x_n)\prod_{j=1}^{n}f_0(x_j)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{n!}\sum_{x_1,\dots,x_n\in\mathfrak{X}}\mathrm{pf}_\alpha(\widetilde{K}_\alpha[x_1,\dots,x_n])\prod_{j=1}^{n}f_0(x_j)$$

for any finitely supported function f_0. This implies the theorem. □

In general, we call the matrix K such that $\rho(x_1,\dots,x_n)=\mathrm{pf}(K[x_1,\dots,x_n])$ the correlation kernel for a correlation function ρ. The correlation kernel is not uniquely determined.

The α-determinant version of the theorem above is obtained in [ST].

Example 3.1. Let A be a trace class operator on $\mathfrak{X}$. Define a skew-symmetric matrix kernel L by

$$L(x,y)=\begin{pmatrix}0 & A(x,y)\\ -A(y,x) & 0\end{pmatrix}$$

for $x,y\in\mathfrak{X}$. Then $\mathrm{pf}_\alpha(L[X])=\det_\alpha(A_X)$ by Proposition 2.8, where $A_X=(A(x,y))_{x,y\in X}$. Therefore the probability measure $\pi_L^{(\alpha)}$ is nothing but the α-determinantal point process determined by A, which studied in [ST]. The correlation function is given as $\rho_L^{(\alpha)}(x_1,\dots,x_n)=\det_\alpha(B_\alpha(x_i,x_j))_{1\le i,j\le n}$, where $B_\alpha=A(I-\alpha A)^{-1}=-\alpha^{-1}(I-(I+A)^{-1})$. □

Remark 3.1. If $\mathrm{pf}_\alpha(L[x_1,\dots,x_n])$ is non-negative for $x_1,\dots,x_n\in\mathfrak{X}$ and $\mathrm{pf}_\alpha(J+L)$ is non-negative, then we can also define the point process on $\mathfrak{P}(\mathfrak{X})=\{X\subset\mathfrak{X};\ \#X \text{ is finite}\}$ from Proposition 2.9 by $\Pi_L^{(\alpha)}(X)=\mathrm{pf}_\alpha(J+L)^{-1}\mathrm{pf}_\alpha(L[X])$ for $X\in\mathfrak{P}(\mathfrak{X})$. □

4 Shifted Schur measure and pfaffian point process

In this section, we obtain a linear algebraic proof of the explicit pfaffian expression of the correlation function for the shifted Schur measure. It is obtained in [M] via the vertex operators on the exterior algebra.

4.1 Definition of the shifted Schur measure

Let $u = (u_1, u_2, \dots)$ and $v = (v_1, v_2, \dots)$ be variables. Let $\mathcal{D}$ be the set of all strict partitions and $\ell(\lambda)$ be the length of a partition $\lambda \in \mathcal{D}$ (see [Mac]). Put

$$Q_u(z) = \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1+u_j z}{1-u_j z}.$$

The Schur Q-function $Q_\lambda(u)$ associated with $\lambda \in \mathcal{D}$ is defined as a coefficient of $z_1^{\lambda_1} \cdots z_n^{\lambda_n}$ in the formal series expansion of

$$Q_u(z_1) \cdots Q_u(z_n) \prod_{1 \le i < j \le n} \frac{z_i - z_j}{z_i + z_j},$$

where $n \ge \ell(\lambda)$ and $\frac{z-w}{z+w} = 1 + 2\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-1)^k z^{-k} w^k$.

The shifted Schur measure (see [M, TW]) is the probability measure on $\mathcal{D}$ given by

$$\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{SS}}(\lambda) = \frac{1}{Z_{\mathrm{SS}}} 2^{-\ell(\lambda)} Q_\lambda(u) Q_\lambda(v),$$

where Z_{SS} is the constant determined by the Cauchy identity ([Mac, III-8])

$$Z_{\mathrm{SS}} = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathcal{D}} 2^{-\ell(\lambda)} Q_\lambda(u) Q_\lambda(v) = \prod_{i,j=1}^{\infty} \frac{1+u_i v_j}{1-u_i v_j}.$$

The correlation function for the shifted Schur measure is defined by

$$\rho_{\mathrm{SS}}(\lambda) = \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{SS}}\left(\{\mu \in \mathcal{D};\ \mu \supset \lambda\}\right) = \sum_{\mu \in \mathcal{D},\ \mu \supset \lambda} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{SS}}(\mu) \qquad \text{for } \lambda \in \mathcal{D},$$

where $\mu \supset \lambda$ means $\{\mu_1, \dots, \mu_{\ell(\mu)}\} \supset \{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{\ell(\lambda)}\}$. The aim in this section is to obtain a new proof of the following theorem in [M].

Theorem 4.1. *For any strict partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_l) \in \mathcal{D}$, we have*

$$\rho_{\mathrm{SS}}(\lambda) = \mathrm{pf}(\mathcal{K}[\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_l]),$$

where $\mathcal{K}$ is a skew-symmetric matrix kernel whose blocks are

$$\mathcal{K}(r,s) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{K}_{00}(r,s) & \mathcal{K}_{01}(r,s) \\ \mathcal{K}_{10}(r,s) & \mathcal{K}_{11}(r,s) \end{pmatrix} \qquad \text{for } r, s \ge 1.$$

The each entry is given as follows:

$$\mathcal{K}_{00}(r,s) = \frac{1}{2}[z^r w^s] \frac{Q_u(z) Q_u(w)}{Q_v(z^{-1}) Q_v(w^{-1})} \frac{z-w}{z+w},$$

where $\frac{z-w}{z+w} = 1 + 2\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-1)^k z^{-k} w^k$ and $[z^r w^s]$ stands for the coefficient of $z^r w^s$, and

$$\mathcal{K}_{01}(r,s) = -\mathcal{K}_{10}(s,r) = \frac{1}{2}[z^r w^s] \frac{Q_u(z) Q_v(w)}{Q_v(z^{-1}) Q_u(w^{-1})} \frac{zw+1}{zw-1},$$

where $\frac{zw+1}{zw-1} = -\left(1 + 2\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} z^k w^k\right)$. *Finally,*

$$\mathcal{K}_{11}(r,s) = \frac{1}{2}[z^r w^s] \frac{Q_v(z)Q_v(w)}{Q_u(z^{-1})Q_u(w^{-1})} \frac{w-z}{w+z},$$

where $\frac{w-z}{w+z} = -\left(1 + 2\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(-1)^k z^{-k} w^k\right)$.

We regard the shifted Schur measure as a pfaffian point process. For that purpose, we recall the pfaffian point process, which is the case of $\alpha = -1$ in the α-pfaffian point process defined in Section 3.

Let $\mathfrak{P}(\mathfrak{X}) = \{X \subset \mathfrak{X};\ \#X \text{ is finite}\}$. We define the pfaffian point process π_L on $\mathfrak{X}$ determined by a skew-symmetric matrix kernel L as the measure on $\mathfrak{P}(\mathfrak{X})$ given by

$$\pi(X) = \pi_L(X) = \frac{\mathrm{pf}(L[X])}{\mathrm{pf}(J+L)} \qquad \text{for } X \in \mathfrak{P}(\mathfrak{X}).$$

Then by Theorem 3.1 its correlation function is

$$\rho(X) = \sum_{Y \in \mathfrak{P}(\mathfrak{X}),\ Y \supset X} \pi(Y) = \mathrm{pf}(K[X]), \tag{4.1}$$

where $K = J + (J+L)^{-1}$.

More generally, let $\mathfrak{Y}$ be a subset in $\mathfrak{X}$ such that $\mathfrak{Y}^c = \mathfrak{X} \setminus \mathfrak{Y}$ is finite. Then we can define a point process on $\mathfrak{Y}$ by

$$\pi_{L,\mathfrak{Y}}(X) = \frac{\mathrm{pf}(L[X \cup \mathfrak{Y}^c])}{\mathrm{pf}(J[\mathfrak{Y}] + L)} \qquad \text{for } X \in \mathfrak{P}(\mathfrak{Y}). \tag{4.2}$$

Here we identify $J[\mathfrak{Y}]$ with the block matrix $\begin{pmatrix} J & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, where the blocks correspond to the partition $\mathfrak{X} = \mathfrak{Y} \sqcup \mathfrak{Y}^c$. This process is called the conditional pfaffian point process determined by L. The correlation function is given as follows.

Proposition 4.2 ([BR]). *Let $\rho_{L,\mathfrak{Y}}$ be the correlation function on $\mathfrak{Y}$ determined by a skew-symmetric matrix kernel L. Then we have $\rho_{L,\mathfrak{Y}}(X) = \mathrm{pf}(K[X])$ for $X \in \mathfrak{P}(\mathfrak{Y})$, where*

$$K = J[\mathfrak{Y}] + (J[\mathfrak{Y}] + L)^{-1}\Big|_{\mathfrak{Y}\times\mathfrak{Y}}. \quad \square$$

4.2 Proof of Theorem 4.1: 1st step

Let $u = (u_1, \dots, u_n)$ and $v = (v_1, \dots, v_n)$, where n is even. The case that n is odd is similar. We define a bijective map ϕ from $\mathcal{D}$ to $\mathfrak{P}^{\text{even}}(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}) = \{X \in \mathfrak{P}(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})\ ;\ \#X \text{ is even}\}$ by

$$\phi(\lambda) = \begin{cases} \{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{\ell(\lambda)}\}, & \text{if } \ell(\lambda) \text{ is even}, \\ \{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{\ell(\lambda)}, 0\}, & \text{if } \ell(\lambda) \text{ is odd}. \end{cases}$$

First we prove the following proposition.

Proposition 4.3. *Define a skew-symmetric matrix kernel* L *on* $\mathfrak{X} = \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \sqcup \mathfrak{Y}$ *by*

$$L = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{V} & \mathcal{W}\eta^{-\frac{1}{2}} \\ -\eta^{-\frac{1}{2}}\,{}^t\mathcal{W} & O \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\mathfrak{Y} = \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, $\mathcal{V} = (\mathcal{V}(i,j))_{1 \leq i,j \leq n}$ *and* $\mathcal{W} = (\mathcal{W}(i,r))_{1 \leq i \leq n,\ r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}$. *Their entries are given by*

$$\mathcal{V}(i,j) = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{u_i - u_j}{u_i + u_j} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{v_i - v_j}{v_i + v_j} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \mathcal{W}(i,r) = \begin{pmatrix} -u_i^r & 0 \\ 0 & v_i^r \end{pmatrix}.$$

Further η *is the matrix whose block is given by*

$$\eta(r,s) = \delta_{rs} \begin{pmatrix} \eta(r) & 0 \\ 0 & \eta(r) \end{pmatrix} \qquad \text{for } r, s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0},$$

where $\eta(r)$ *is equal to* 1 *if* $r = 0$, *or to* $\frac{1}{2}$ *if* $r \geq 1$. *Then for* $X \in \mathfrak{P}(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})$ *we have*

$$\mathrm{pf}(L[X \cup \{1, 2, \dots, n\}]) = 2^{-\ell(\lambda)} Q_\lambda(u) Q_\lambda(v) \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \left(\frac{u_i - u_j}{u_i + u_j} \right) \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \left(\frac{v_i - v_j}{v_i + v_j} \right)$$

if $\#X$ *is even and* $X = \phi(\lambda)$, *and* $\mathrm{pf}(L[X \cup \{1, 2, \dots, n\}]) = 0$ *if* $\#X$ *is odd.*

In order to prove the proposition, we need the lemma (see [Mac, III-8]).

Lemma 4.4 ([N]). *The Schur Q-function can be written as*

$$Q_\lambda(u) = \pm 2^{\ell(\lambda)} \mathrm{pf}(A_u(\omega(\lambda))) \cdot \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \left(\frac{u_i - u_j}{u_i + u_j} \right)^{-1},$$

where the sign depends on only λ *and we set*

$$A_u(X) = \begin{pmatrix} V(u) & W(u)_X \\ -{}^tW(u)_X & O_X \end{pmatrix}$$

for $X \in \mathfrak{P}(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})$. *Here* $V(u) = \left(\frac{u_i - u_j}{u_i + u_j} \right)_{1 \leq i,j \leq n}$ *and* $W(u)_X = (u_i^x)_{1 \leq i \leq n, x \in X}$. □

Proof of Proposition 4.3. Put $\mathcal{W}[X] = (\mathcal{W}(i,x))_{1 \leq i \leq n, x \in X}$ for $X \in \mathfrak{P}(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} L[X \cup \{1, 2, \dots, n\}] &= \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{V} & \mathcal{W}[X]\ \eta^{-\frac{1}{2}}[X] \\ -\eta^{-\frac{1}{2}}[X]\ {}^t\mathcal{W}[X] & O_X \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} I_{2n} & O \\ O & \eta^{-\frac{1}{2}}[X] \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{V} & \mathcal{W}[X] \\ -{}^t\mathcal{W}[X] & O_X \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I_{2n} & O \\ O & \eta^{-\frac{1}{2}}[X] \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

for $X \in \mathfrak{P}(\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{pf}(L[X \cup \{1, 2, \dots, n\}]) &= \mathrm{pf} \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{V} & \mathcal{W}[X] \\ -{}^t\mathcal{W}[X] & O_X \end{pmatrix} \det \begin{pmatrix} I_{2n} & O \\ O & \eta^{-\frac{1}{2}}[X] \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \prod_{x \in X} \eta(x)^{-1} \cdot \mathrm{pf} \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{V} & \mathcal{W}[X] \\ -{}^t\mathcal{W}[X] & O_X \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Changing orders of rows and columns, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{pf}\begin{pmatrix}\mathcal{V} & \mathcal{W}[X] \\ -{}^t\mathcal{W}[X] & O_X\end{pmatrix} =&(-1)^{(n+\#X)(n+\#X-1)/2}\mathrm{pf}\begin{pmatrix}-A_u(X) & O \\ O & A_v(X)\end{pmatrix} \\ =&(-1)^{(n+\#X)(n+\#X-1)/2+(n+\#X)/2}\mathrm{pf}(A_u(X))\mathrm{pf}(A_v(X)).\end{aligned}$$

Here if $\#X$ is odd then $\mathrm{pf}(A_u(X)) = 0$. Hence we may assume $\#X$ is even. Put $\lambda = \phi^{-1}(X)$. Then it follows from Lemma 4.4 that

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathrm{pf}\begin{pmatrix}\mathcal{V} & \mathcal{W}[X] \\ -{}^t\mathcal{W}[X] & O_X\end{pmatrix} = \mathrm{pf}(A_u(X))\mathrm{pf}(A_v(X)) \\ =&(2^{-\ell(\lambda)})^2 Q_\lambda(u)Q_\lambda(v)\prod_{1\le i<j\le n}\left(\frac{u_i-u_j}{u_i+u_j}\right)\prod_{1\le i<j\le n}\left(\frac{v_i-v_j}{v_i+v_j}\right).\end{aligned}$$

Since $\prod_{x\in X}\eta(x) = 2^{-\ell(\lambda)}$ for $X = \phi(\lambda)$, we get the proposition. ☐

4.3 Proof of Theorem 4.1: 2nd step

The shifted Schur measure is nothing but the conditional pfaffian point process determined by L in Proposition 4.3. Thus from Proposition 4.2 we have to obtain an explicit expression of $K = J[\mathfrak{Y}] + (J[\mathfrak{Y}] + L)^{-1}\Big|_{\mathfrak{Y}\times\mathfrak{Y}}$.

Lemma 4.5. *We have*

$$\begin{pmatrix}A & B \\ C & D\end{pmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix}-\mathcal{M}^{-1} & \mathcal{M}^{-1}BD^{-1} \\ D^{-1}C\mathcal{M}^{-1} & D^{-1} - D^{-1}C\mathcal{M}^{-1}BD^{-1}\end{pmatrix},$$

where $\mathcal{M} = BD^{-1}C - A$, if D and $\mathcal{M}$ are invertible.

Proof. This is straightforward, see e.g. [BR]. ☐

By this lemma, the kernel $K = J[\mathfrak{Y}]+(J[\mathfrak{Y}]+L)^{-1}\Big|_{\mathfrak{Y}\times\mathfrak{Y}}$ is equal to $K = J[\mathfrak{Y}]\eta^{-\frac{1}{2}}{}^t\mathcal{W}\mathcal{M}^{-1}\mathcal{W}\eta^{-\frac{1}{2}}J[\mathfrak{Y}]$, where $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{W}\eta^{-\frac{1}{2}}J[\mathfrak{Y}]\eta^{-\frac{1}{2}}{}^t\mathcal{W} - \mathcal{V}$. We may replace K by

$$-\eta^{-\frac{1}{2}}{}^t\mathcal{W}\mathcal{M}^{-1}\mathcal{W}\eta^{-\frac{1}{2}}$$

from Proposition 2.4.

Proposition 4.6. *Write the skew-symmetric matrix kernel $\mathcal{M}^{-1}$ on $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ as*

$$\mathcal{M}^{-1}(k,l) = \begin{pmatrix}\mathcal{M}^{-1}_{00}(k,l) & \mathcal{M}^{-1}_{01}(k,l) \\ \mathcal{M}^{-1}_{10}(k,l) & \mathcal{M}^{-1}_{11}(k,l)\end{pmatrix} \qquad \textit{for } 1 \le k,l \le n.$$

Then we have

$$\mathcal{M}_{00}^{-1}(k,l) = \prod_{j=1}^{n} \left(\frac{1-u_k v_j}{1+u_k v_j} \frac{1-u_l v_j}{1+u_l v_j} \right) \prod_{\substack{1 \le i \le n, \\ i \ne k}} \left(\frac{u_k+u_i}{u_k-u_i} \right) \prod_{\substack{1 \le j \le n, \\ j \ne l}} \left(\frac{u_l+u_j}{u_l-u_j} \right) \frac{u_k-u_l}{u_k+u_l}; \tag{4.3}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}_{01}^{-1}(k,l) &= -\mathcal{M}_{10}^{-1}(l,k) \\ &= \prod_{j=1}^{n} \left(\frac{1-u_k v_j}{1+u_k v_j} \frac{1-u_j v_l}{1+u_j v_l} \right) \prod_{\substack{1 \le i \le n, \\ i \ne k}} \left(\frac{u_k+u_i}{u_k-u_i} \right) \prod_{\substack{1 \le j \le n, \\ j \ne l}} \left(\frac{v_l+v_j}{v_l-v_j} \right) \frac{1+u_k v_l}{1-u_k v_l}; \end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

$$\mathcal{M}_{11}^{-1}(k,l) = -\prod_{j=1}^{n} \left(\frac{1-u_j v_k}{1+u_j v_k} \frac{1-u_j v_l}{1+u_j v_l} \right) \prod_{\substack{1 \le i \le n, \\ i \ne k}} \left(\frac{v_k+v_i}{v_k-v_i} \right) \prod_{\substack{1 \le j \le n, \\ j \ne l}} \left(\frac{v_l+v_j}{v_l-v_j} \right) \frac{v_k-v_l}{v_k+v_l}. \tag{4.5}$$

We recall some formulas for pfaffians, which are obtained in [IW].

Lemma 4.7 ([IW]). *Let n be any even number. Let A and B be n by n skew-symmetric matrices. Then*

$$\mathrm{pf}(A+B) = \sum_{\substack{\boldsymbol{i} \subset \{1,\dots,n\}, \\ \#\boldsymbol{i}\text{: even}}} (-1)^{|\boldsymbol{i}| + \frac{\#\boldsymbol{i}}{2}} \mathrm{pf}(A_{\boldsymbol{i}}) \mathrm{pf}(B_{\boldsymbol{i}^c}),$$

where we put $|\boldsymbol{i}| = i_1 + i_2 + \cdots + i_p$ and $\boldsymbol{i}^c = \{1, \dots, \hat{i_1}, \dots, \hat{i_2}, \dots, \hat{i_p}, \dots, n\}$ for an increasing sequence $\boldsymbol{i} = (i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_p)$. □

Lemma 4.8 (Minor summation formula [IW]). *Let $m \le n$. Let B be any n by n skew-symmetric matrix and T be any m by n matrix. Then*

$$\sum_{\substack{\boldsymbol{i} \subset \{1,\dots,n\}, \\ \#\boldsymbol{i} = m}} \mathrm{pf}(B_{\boldsymbol{i}}) \det(T_{\boldsymbol{i}}^{1,\dots,m}) = \mathrm{pf}(TB\,{}^tT),$$

where $T_{\boldsymbol{i}}^{1,\dots,m} = (t_{k,i_j})_{1 \le k \le m, 1 \le j \le m}$ for $T = (t_{ij})_{1 \le i \le m, 1 \le j \le n}$ and $\boldsymbol{i} = (i_1 < \cdots < i_m)$. □

Lemma 4.9. *Let A be any $2n$ by $2n$ skew-symmetric matrix and B be any $2n$ by $2m$ matrix. Assume that n is even. Then we have*

$$\mathrm{pf}\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ -{}^tB & J_m \end{pmatrix} = \mathrm{pf}(BJ_m{}^tB - A).$$

Proof. By Lemma 4.7 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{LHS} &= \mathrm{pf}\left(\begin{pmatrix} A & O \\ O & J_m \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} O & B \\ -{}^tB & O \end{pmatrix} \right) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{\boldsymbol{i} \subset \{1,\dots,2n\}, \\ \#\boldsymbol{i}\text{: even}}} \sum_{\substack{\boldsymbol{k} \subset \{1,\dots,2m\}, \\ \#\boldsymbol{k}\text{: even}}} (-1)^{|\boldsymbol{i}|+|\boldsymbol{k}|+(\#\boldsymbol{i}+\#\boldsymbol{k})/2} \mathrm{pf}(A_{\boldsymbol{i}}) \mathrm{pf}((J_m)_{\boldsymbol{k}}) \mathrm{pf}\left(\begin{pmatrix} O & B \\ -{}^tB & O \end{pmatrix}_{(\boldsymbol{i}^c, n+\boldsymbol{k}^c)} \right) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{\boldsymbol{i} \subset \{1,\dots,2n\}, \\ \#\boldsymbol{i}\text{: even}}} \sum_{\substack{\boldsymbol{k} \subset \{1,\dots,2m\}, \\ \#\boldsymbol{k}^c = \#\boldsymbol{i}^c}} (-1)^{|\boldsymbol{i}|+|\boldsymbol{k}|+(\#\boldsymbol{i}+\#\boldsymbol{k})/2+\#\boldsymbol{i}^c/2} \mathrm{pf}(A_{\boldsymbol{i}}) \mathrm{pf}((J_m)_{\boldsymbol{k}}) \det(B_{\boldsymbol{k}^c}^{\boldsymbol{i}^c}). \end{aligned}$$

Since it is easy to see that $(\#\boldsymbol{i} + \#\boldsymbol{i}^c)/2 = n \equiv 0 \pmod 2$ and that $\mathrm{pf}((J_m)_{\boldsymbol{k}}) = 0$ unless $\boldsymbol{k} = (2r_1 - 1, 2r_1, \dots, 2r_p - 1, 2r_p)$ for an increasing sequence $(r_1, \dots, r_p)$, we have

$$\mathrm{LHS} = \sum_{\substack{\boldsymbol{i}\subset\{1,\dots,2n\},\\ \#\boldsymbol{i}\text{: even}}} \sum_{\substack{\boldsymbol{k}\subset\{1,\dots,2m\},\\ \#\boldsymbol{k}^c=\#\boldsymbol{i}^c}} (-1)^{|\boldsymbol{i}|}\mathrm{pf}(A_{\boldsymbol{i}})\mathrm{pf}((J_m)_{\boldsymbol{k}})\det(B^{\boldsymbol{i}^c}_{\boldsymbol{k}^c}).$$

On the other hand, by Lemma 4.7 and Lemma 4.8,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{RHS} &= \sum_{\substack{\boldsymbol{i}\subset\{1,\dots,2n\},\\ \#\boldsymbol{i}\text{: even}}} (-1)^{|\boldsymbol{i}|+\frac{\#\boldsymbol{i}}{2}}\mathrm{pf}(-A_{\boldsymbol{i}})\mathrm{pf}((BJ_m{}^tB)_{\boldsymbol{i}^c}) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{\boldsymbol{i}\subset\{1,\dots,2n\},\\ \#\boldsymbol{i}\text{: even}}} (-1)^{|\boldsymbol{i}|}\mathrm{pf}(A_{\boldsymbol{i}}) \sum_{\substack{\boldsymbol{j}\subset\{1,\dots,2m\},\\ \#\boldsymbol{j}=\#\boldsymbol{i}^c}} \mathrm{pf}((J_m)_{\boldsymbol{j}})\det(B^{\boldsymbol{i}^c}_{\boldsymbol{j}}).\end{aligned}$$

Hence we obtain the lemma. □

Proof of Proposition 4.6. It follows from Lemma 4.9 and Proposition 4.3 that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{pf}(\mathcal{M}) =&\mathrm{pf}(J[\mathfrak{Y}] + L) = \sum_{X\in\mathfrak{P}^{\text{even}}(\mathfrak{Y})} \mathrm{pf}(L[X\cup\{1,\dots,n\}]) \\ =&\sum_{\lambda\in\mathcal{D}} 2^{-\ell(\lambda)}Q_\lambda(u)Q_\lambda(v) \prod_{1\le i<j\le n}\left(\frac{u_i-u_j}{u_i+u_j}\right)\prod_{1\le i<j\le n}\left(\frac{v_i-v_j}{v_i+v_j}\right) \\ =& \prod_{1\le i,j\le n}\left(\frac{1+u_iv_j}{1-u_iv_j}\right)\prod_{1\le i<j\le n}\left(\frac{u_i-u_j}{u_i+u_j}\right)\prod_{1\le i<j\le n}\left(\frac{v_i-v_j}{v_i+v_j}\right). \qquad (4.6)\end{aligned}$$

We write

$$\mathcal{M}(k,l) = \begin{pmatrix}\mathcal{M}_{00}(k,l) & \mathcal{M}_{01}(k,l)\\ \mathcal{M}_{10}(k,l) & \mathcal{M}_{11}(k,l)\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}\frac{u_k-u_l}{u_k+u_l} & -\frac{1+u_kv_l}{1-u_kv_l}\\ \frac{1+v_ku_l}{1-v_ku_l} & -\frac{v_k-v_l}{v_k+v_l}\end{pmatrix}$$

and $\mathcal{M}_{ij} = (\mathcal{M}_{ij}(k,l))_{1\le k,l\le n}$ for $i,j = 0,1$. The skew-symmetric matrix

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{M}} = \begin{pmatrix}\mathcal{M}_{00} & \mathcal{M}_{01}\\ \mathcal{M}_{10} & \mathcal{M}_{11}\end{pmatrix}$$

is obtained by changing orders of rows and columns from $\mathcal{M}$ and it satisfies $\mathrm{pf}(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}) = (-1)^{\frac{n}{2}}\mathrm{pf}(\mathcal{M})$. The pfaffian of the matrix $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^{[k,l]}$ obtained by removing the k-th row, l-th row, k-th column and l-th column from $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$, where $1 \le k, l \le n$, equals the value removed variables u_k and u_l from (4.6), up to sign. Namely, we have

$$\mathrm{pf}(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^{[k,l]}) = (-1)^{\frac{n}{2}} \prod_{\substack{1\le i,j\le n,\\ i\ne k,l}}\left(\frac{1+u_iv_j}{1-u_iv_j}\right)\prod_{\substack{1\le i<j\le n,\\ i,j\ne k,l}}\left(\frac{u_i-u_j}{u_i+u_j}\right)\prod_{1\le i<j\le n}\left(\frac{v_i-v_j}{v_i+v_j}\right).$$

Thus for $k < l$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{M}_{00}^{-1}(k,l) = (\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^{-1})_{k,l} &= \frac{(-1)^{k+l}\mathrm{pf}(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^{[k,l]})}{\mathrm{pf}(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}})} \\ =&\prod_{j=1}^{n}\left(\frac{1-u_kv_j}{1+u_kv_j}\frac{1-u_lv_j}{1+u_lv_j}\right)\prod_{\substack{1\le i\le n,\\ i\ne k}}\left(\frac{u_k+u_i}{u_k-u_i}\right)\prod_{\substack{1\le j\le n,\\ j\ne l}}\left(\frac{u_l+u_j}{u_l-u_j}\right)\frac{u_k-u_l}{u_k+u_l}.\end{aligned}$$

This equality holds also if $k \geq l$ so that we obtain (4.3).

Similarly, since $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^{[k,n+l]}$ is the matrix removed variables u_k and v_l from $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$ we see that

$$\mathrm{pf}(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^{[k,n+l]}) = (-1)^{\frac{n}{2}} \prod_{\substack{1\leq i,j\leq n,\\ i\neq k, j\neq l}} \left(\frac{1+u_iv_j}{1-u_iv_j}\right) \prod_{\substack{1\leq i<j\leq n,\\ i,j\neq k}} \left(\frac{u_i-u_j}{u_i+u_j}\right) \prod_{\substack{1\leq i<j\leq n,\\ i,j\neq l}} \left(\frac{v_i-v_j}{v_i+v_j}\right).$$

Thus for $1 \leq k, l \leq n$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{M}_{01}^{-1}(k,l) = -\mathcal{M}_{10}^{-1}(l,k) = (\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^{-1})_{k,n+l} = \frac{(-1)^{k+l}\mathrm{pf}(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^{[k,n+l]})}{\mathrm{pf}(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}})} \\ = \prod_{j=1}^{n} \left(\frac{1-u_kv_j}{1+u_kv_j}\frac{1-u_jv_l}{1+u_jv_l}\right) \prod_{\substack{1\leq i\leq n,\\ i\neq k}} \left(\frac{u_k+u_i}{u_k-u_i}\right) \prod_{\substack{1\leq j\leq n,\\ j\neq l}} \left(\frac{v_l+v_j}{v_l-v_j}\right) \frac{1+u_kv_l}{1-u_kv_l},\end{aligned}$$

and so we obtain (4.4). We also have (4.5) in a similar way. □

4.4 Proof of Theorem 4.1: final step

We calculate $K = -\eta^{-\frac{1}{2}}{}^t\mathcal{W}\mathcal{M}^{-1}\mathcal{W}\eta^{-\frac{1}{2}}$. For $r, s \geq 1$ we have

$$K(r,s) = \begin{pmatrix} K_{00}(r,s) & K_{01}(r,s) \\ K_{10}(r,s) & K_{11}(r,s) \end{pmatrix} = 2\sum_{k,l=1}^{n} \begin{pmatrix} -u_k^r\mathcal{M}_{00}^{-1}(k,l)u_l^s & u_k^r\mathcal{M}_{01}^{-1}(k,l)v_l^s \\ v_k^r\mathcal{M}_{10}^{-1}(k,l)u_l^s & -v_k^r\mathcal{M}_{11}^{-1}(k,l)v_l^s \end{pmatrix}. \tag{4.7}$$

We prove that $\mathcal{K}(r,s) = K(r,s)$ for $r, s \geq 1$, where $\mathcal{K}$ is defined in Theorem 4.1. Assume that variables $u_1, \dots, u_n, v_1, \dots, v_n$ are $2n$ distinct complex numbers in the unit open disc.

By the residue theorem we express $\mathcal{K}_{00}(r,s)$ as

$$\mathcal{K}_{00}(r,s) = \frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^2}\iint_{|z|>|w|>1} \frac{Q_u(z)Q_u(w)}{Q_v(z^{-1})Q_v(w^{-1})}\frac{z-w}{z+w}\frac{dzdw}{z^{r+1}w^{s+1}},$$

where the contours in the integral are two circles around 0 near the unit circle such that $|z| > |w| > 1$. But since the integral for the residue at $z = -w$ equals

$$\frac{(-1)^r}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}\int \frac{dw}{w^{r+s+1}} = 0,$$

we may let the contours $|z| = |w| = 1$. Changing variables $z \to z^{-1}$ and $w \to w^{-1}$, we have

$$\mathcal{K}_{00}(r,s) = \frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^2}\iint_{|z|=|w|=1} \prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(\frac{z+u_i}{z-u_i}\frac{1-v_iz}{1+v_iz}\frac{w+u_i}{w-u_i}\frac{1-v_iw}{1+v_iw}\right)\left(-\frac{z-w}{z+w}\right) z^{r-1}w^{s-1}dzdw.$$

The integrand has simple poles at $z = u_k$ and $w = u_l$ for $k, l = 1, \dots, n$. Thus it follows from (4.7) and Proposition 4.6 that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{K}_{00}(r,s) = & -2\sum_{k,l=1}^{n} u_k^r u_l^s \prod_{j=1}^{n}\left(\frac{1-u_kv_j}{1+u_kv_j}\frac{1-u_lv_j}{1+u_lv_j}\right) \prod_{\substack{1\leq i\leq n,\\ i\neq k}}\left(\frac{u_k+u_i}{u_k-u_i}\right) \prod_{\substack{1\leq j\leq n,\\ j\neq l}}\left(\frac{u_l+u_j}{u_l-u_j}\right)\frac{u_k-u_l}{u_k+u_l} \\ = & K_{00}(r,s).\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\mathcal{K}_{01}(r,s) = \frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^2}\iint \frac{Q_u(z)Q_v(w)}{Q_v(z^{-1})Q_u(w^{-1})}\frac{zw+1}{zw-1}\frac{dzdw}{z^{r+1}w^{s+1}}.$$

Here the contours are two circles such that $|z|<1,\ |w|<1$. Thus as like the calculation for $\mathcal{K}_{00}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{K}_{01}(r,s) =&\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{(2\pi\sqrt{-1})^2}\iint \prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(\frac{z+u_i}{z-u_i}\frac{1-v_iz}{1+v_iz}\frac{w+v_i}{w-v_i}\frac{1-u_iw}{1+u_iw}\right)\frac{1+zw}{1-zw}z^{r-1}w^{s-1}dzdw \\ =&2\sum_{k,l=1}^{n}u_k^rv_l^s\prod_{j=1}^{n}\left(\frac{1-u_kv_j}{1+u_kv_j}\frac{1-u_jv_l}{1+u_jv_l}\right)\prod_{\substack{1\le i\le n,\\ i\ne k}}\left(\frac{u_k+u_i}{u_k-u_i}\right)\prod_{\substack{1\le j\le n,\\ j\ne l}}\left(\frac{v_l+v_j}{v_l-v_j}\right)\frac{1+u_kv_l}{1-u_kv_l} \\ =&K_{01}(r,s).\end{aligned}$$

We can prove $\mathcal{K}_{11}(r,s)=K_{11}(r,s)$ in a similar way. Hence we obtain $\mathcal{K}(r,s)=K(r,s)$. Though we have assumed that u_i, v_j belong to the unit open disc, it is in fact unnecessary, see e.g. [BR]. This completes the proof of Theorem 4.1.

5 Positivity of pfaffians

We study the sufficient condition that the pfaffian is non-negative.

Let $\mathbf{SH}_{2m}$ be the set of all $2m$ by $2m$ skew-symmetric $\mathbb{C}$-matrices B satisfying $B_{00}(r,s)=\overline{B_{11}(r,s)}$ and $B_{01}(r,s)=-\overline{B_{10}(r,s)}$ for each block $B(r,s)$ $(1\le r,s\le m)$. Equivalently, $\mathbf{SH}_{2m}$ is the set of all $2m$ by $2m$ skew-symmetric $\mathbb{C}$-matrices B such that $-J_mB$ is hermitian, i.e., $BJ_m=J_m\overline{B}$. Put

$$\mathbf{SH}_{2m}^{\ge 0}=\{B\in\mathbf{SH}_{2m};\ -J_mB \text{ is non-negative definite}\}.$$

Similarly, let $\mathbf{SH}_{2m}^{>0}$ be all elements in $\mathbf{SH}_{2m}$ such that $-J_mB$ is positive definite.

Proposition 5.1. *Let* $B\in\mathbf{SH}_{2m}^{\ge 0}$*. Then* $\mathrm{pf}(B[S])$ *is non-negative for any subset* $S\subset\{1,\dots,m\}$*. In particular,* $\mathrm{pf}(B)\ge 0$*.*

Proof. Since submatrix $-J_nB[S]$ $(S\subset\{1,\dots,m\},\ \#S=n)$ of $-J_mB$ is a non-negative definite hermitian matrix by the assumption, the roots of the polynomial $\det(zI_{2n}+J_nB[S])$ are all non-negative real numbers. Since $\mathrm{pf}(zJ_n-B[S])^2=\det(zI_{2n}+J_nB[S])$, the roots of $\mathrm{pf}(zJ_n-B[S])$ are also non-negative. Hence if we look as the constant term in the polynomial above we obtain that $\mathrm{pf}(B[S])$ is non-negative. □

Now we state the relation between elements in $\mathbf{SH}_{2m}^{\ge 0}$ and non-negative definite hermitian matrices. Let $\mathbf{Her}_m$ be the set of all m by m hermitian matrices. Let $\mathbf{Her}_m^{\ge 0}\subset\mathbf{Her}_m$ be all non-negative definite hermitian matrices.

Proposition 5.2. *Let* $\omega:\mathfrak{gl}_m(\mathbb{C})\to\mathfrak{o}_{2m}(\mathbb{C})$ *be an injective linear map defined in Section 2.4. Then we have* $\omega(\mathbf{Her}_m)\subset\mathbf{SH}_{2m}$ *and* $\omega(\mathbf{Her}_m^{\ge 0})\subset\mathbf{SH}_{2m}^{\ge 0}$*.*

Proof. For $A = (a_{rs})_{1\le r,s\le m} \in \mathbf{Her}_m$ the (r,s)-block of $\omega(A)$ is

$$\omega(A)(r,s) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a_{rs} \\ -\overline{a_{rs}} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

so that $\omega(A) \in \mathbf{SH}_{2m}$. Let λ be an eigenvalue of $-J_m\omega(A)$. Then since

$$\begin{aligned} 0 =& \det(\lambda I_{2m} + J_m\omega(A)) = \operatorname{pf}(\lambda J_m - \omega(A))^2 = \det\begin{pmatrix} O_m & \lambda I_m - A \\ -\lambda I_m + \bar{A} & O_m \end{pmatrix} \\ =& \det(\lambda I_m - A)\det(\lambda I_m - A^*) \end{aligned}$$

and A and A^* are non-negative definite, the eigenvalue λ is non-negative. □

Hence we may treat elements in $\mathbf{SH}_{2m}$ as generalizations of hermitian matrices. Proposition 5.1 indicates us the following problem.

Problem 5.1. *What is the range for α such that $\operatorname{pf}_\alpha(B) \ge 0$ whenever $B \in \mathbf{SH}_{2m}^{\ge 0}$.* □

This problem is an extension of the problem for the positivity of α-determinants of a non-negative definite hermitian matrix (recall Conjecture 1.1). It relates to the existence of α-pfaffian point processes defined in Section 3.

Proposition 5.3. *Let L be a skew-symmetric matrix kernel on a countable set $\mathfrak{X}$ and α be a real number. Assume that $-JL$ is hermitian and non-negative definite and satisfies $||\alpha L|| < 1$. Then $-JK_\alpha$ is also hermitian and non-negative definite, where $K_\alpha = L(I + \alpha JL)^{-1} = (I + \alpha LJ)^{-1}L$.*

Proof. Put $\mathcal{L} = -JL$ and $\mathcal{K}_\alpha = -JK_\alpha$. It is easy to see that $\mathcal{K}_\alpha = \mathcal{L}(I - \alpha\mathcal{L})^{-1} = (I - \alpha\mathcal{L})^{-1}\mathcal{L}$ so that $\mathcal{K}_\alpha$ is hermitian if α is a real number. If λ is an eigenvalue of $\mathcal{L}$, then $|\alpha\lambda| < 1$ since $||\alpha\mathcal{L}|| < 1$. Therefore $\mathcal{K}_\alpha$ is non-negative definite. □

For an α, we suppose that $\operatorname{pf}_\alpha(L[X])$ is non-negative for all $X \in \mathfrak{P}(\mathfrak{X})$ whenever $-JL$ is hermitian and non-negative definite. Then, by the proposition above, the α-pfaffian $\operatorname{pf}_\alpha(K_\alpha[X])$ is also non-negative. Therefore the correlation function in Theorem 3.1 exists in this case.

We study Problem 5.1. It follows from Proposition 5.1 that $\operatorname{pf}_{-1}(B) = \operatorname{pf}(B) \ge 0$ and $\operatorname{pf}_0(B) \ge 0$ for $B \in \mathbf{SH}_{2m}^{\ge 0}$. More strongly, we obtain the following inequality.

Theorem 5.4. *Let $B \in \mathbf{SH}_{2m}^{\ge 0}$. Then we have*

$$\operatorname{pf}_0(B) = \prod_{r=1}^{m} B_{01}(r,r) \ge \operatorname{pf}(B).$$

The equality holds if and only if $B(r,s) = O_2$ for $r \ne s$.

If we let $B = \omega(A)$ for $A = (a_{ij}) \in \mathbf{Her}_m$, then Theorem 5.4 provides the well-known formula

$$\prod_{i=1}^{m} a_{ii} \ge \det(A).$$

We need some preparations to prove the theorem. Put

$$\mathcal{S}_{2m,2} = \left\{ X = \begin{pmatrix} X^{(1)} \\ \vdots \\ X^{(m)} \end{pmatrix} ; \ X^{(j)} \in \mathbf{SH}_2 \ (1 \leq j \leq m) \right\}.$$

It is easy to see that $J_m X = \overline{X} J_1$ for $X \in \mathcal{S}_{2m,2}$. Let $O_{r,s}$ be the r by s zero matrix.

Lemma 5.5. *For* $B \in \mathbf{SH}^{>0}_{2m}$ *and* $X \in \mathcal{S}_{2m,2} \setminus \{O_{2m,2}\}$, *we have* $\mathrm{pf}({}^t XBX) > 0$.

Proof. Since the matrix ${}^t XBX$ is a 2 by 2 skew-symmetric matrix, it is easy to see that $\mathrm{pf}({}^t XBX) = \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{tr}(-J_1 {}^t XBX)$. Therefore it is sufficient to prove $\mathrm{tr}(-J_1 {}^t XBX) > 0$. By the commutation relation $J_m X = \overline{X} J_1$, we have $\mathrm{tr}(-J_1 {}^t XBX) = \mathrm{tr}({}^t\overline{X}(-J_m B)X)$. If we write $X = (\boldsymbol{x}_1, \boldsymbol{x}_2)$ $(\boldsymbol{x}_1, \boldsymbol{x}_2 \in \mathbb{C}^{2m})$, we have $\mathrm{tr}({}^t\overline{X}(-J_m B)X) = {}^t\overline{\boldsymbol{x}_1}(-J_m B)\boldsymbol{x}_1 + {}^t\overline{\boldsymbol{x}_2}(-J_m B)\boldsymbol{x}_2 > 0$ since $-J_m B$ is positive definite and $\boldsymbol{x}_1 \neq \mathbf{0}$ or $\boldsymbol{x}_2 \neq \mathbf{0}$. □

Lemma 5.6. *If* $B \in \mathbf{SH}^{>0}_{2m}$, *then* $(B^*)^{-1} \in \mathbf{SH}^{>0}_{2m}$.

Proof. Let $B \in \mathbf{SH}^{>0}_{2m}$. Since $-J_m B$ is positive definite and hermitian, the inverse $(-J_m B)^{-1} = B^{-1} J_m = J_m \overline{B}^{-1} = -J_m (B^*)^{-1}$ is also. Therefore $(B^*)^{-1} \in \mathbf{SH}^{>0}_{2m}$. □

Proof of Theorem 5.4. Write B in the form

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} B_{m-1} & X \\ -{}^t X & B(m,m) \end{pmatrix}, \qquad B_{m-1} \in \mathbf{SH}_{2m-2}, \ X = \begin{pmatrix} B(1,m) \\ \vdots \\ B(m-1,m) \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{S}_{2m-2,2}.$$

Then $B_{m-1} \in \mathbf{SH}^{>0}_{2m-2}$. In fact, if $B \in \mathbf{SH}^{>0}_{2m}$, then $-J_m B$ is positive definite. We immediately see that $-J_{m-1} B_{m-1}$ is also positive definite, hence $B_{m-1} \in \mathbf{SH}^{>0}_{2m-2}$.

Put

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} I_{2m-2} & (B_{m-1})^{-1} X \\ O_{2,2m-2} & I_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then it is easy to see that

$$B = {}^t P \begin{pmatrix} B_{m-1} & O_{2m-2,2} \\ O_{2,2m-2} & B(m,m) + {}^t X (B_{m-1})^{-1} X \end{pmatrix} P.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{pf}(B) =& \mathrm{pf}(B_{m-1}) \mathrm{pf}(B(m,m) + {}^t X (B_{m-1})^{-1} X) \det(P) \\ =& \mathrm{pf}(B_{m-1}) \{\mathrm{pf}(B(m,m)) - \mathrm{pf}({}^t X (-B_{m-1})^{-1} X)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $B_{m-1} \in \mathbf{SH}^{>0}_{2m-2}$, it follows from Lemma 5.5 and Lemma 5.6 that $\mathrm{pf}({}^t\overline{X}(B^*_{m-1})^{-1})\overline{X}) > 0$. Hence $\mathrm{pf}({}^t X(-B_{m-1})^{-1} X) > 0$ for any $X \in \mathcal{S}_{2m-2,2}$ if and only if $X \neq O_{2m-2,2}$. Therefore we have

$$\mathrm{pf}(B) \leq \mathrm{pf}(B_{m-1}) \mathrm{pf}(B(m,m))$$

and the equality holds if and only if $X = O_{2m-2,2}$. By the induction on m, we have the theorem. □

References

[BO] A. Borodin and G. Olshanski, Distributions on partitions, point processes, and the hypergeometric kernel, Commun. Math. Phys. **211** (2000), 335–358.

[BR] A. Borodin and E. Rains, Eynard-Mehta theorem, Schur process, and their pfaffian analogs, math-ph/0409059.

[IW] M. Ishikawa and M. Wakayama, Minor summation formula of pfaffians, Linear and Multilinear Alg. **39** (1995), 285–305.

[J] G. James, Permanents, Immanants, and determinants, Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Mathematics **47** (1987), 431–436.

[KW] K. Kinoshita and M. Wakayama, Explicit Capelli identities for skew symmetric matrices, Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc. **45** (2002), 449–465.

[Mac] I. G. Macdonald, Symmetric Functions and Hall Polynomials, 2nd ed., Oxford, 1995.

[M] S. Matsumoto, Correlation functions of the shifted Schur measure, math.CO/0312373.

[N] J. J. C. Nimmo, Hall-Littlewood symmetric functions and the BKP equation, J. Physics (A) **23** (1990), 751–760.

[R] E. M. Rains, Correlation functions for symmetrized increasing subsequences, math.CO/0006097.

[ST] T. Shirai and Y. Takahashi, Random point fields associated with certain Fredholm determinants I: fermion, Poisson and boson point processes, J. Funct. Anal. **205** (2003), 414–463.

[S] A. Soshnikov, Janossy densities. II. pfaffian emsemble, J. Stat. Phys. **94** (2003), 611–622.

[TW] C. A. Tracy and H. Widom, A limit theorem for shifted Schur measures, Duke Math. J. **123** (2004), 171–208.

[V] D. Vere-Jones, A generalization of permanents and determinants, Linear Algebra Appl. **111** (1988), 119–124.

MATSUMOTO, Sho
Graduate School of Mathematics, Kyushu University.
Hakozaki Higashi-ku, Fukuoka, 812-8581 JAPAN.
`ma203029@math.kyushu-u.ac.jp`